

Eigenvalues of absolute Cesàro matrices

by I.J. Maddox

*Department of Pure Mathematics, Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast, BT7 1NN,
Northern Ireland*

Communicated by Prof. J. Korevaar at the meeting of October 31, 1988

ABSTRACT

A complete description is given of the eigenvalues of the matrix A associated with absolute Cesàro summability of any positive order, where A is regarded as an operator on the Banach space l_p , with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any real number $\alpha \geq 0$ and any given sequence $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$ of complex numbers consider the transformation defined by

$$(1) \quad y_0 = x_0, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{nA_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} kx_k.$$

This transformation is used in summability theory, whereby a series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k$ is called summable $|C, \alpha|$, that is absolutely summable (C, α) , if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |y_n| < \infty$. The idea appears to have been introduced by Fekete [3] and has been studied and generalized by several authors ([1], [2], [4]).

In (1) we employ the usual notation, where for each real β we define

$$A_0^\beta = 1, \quad A_n^\beta = (\beta + 1)(\beta + 2) \cdots (\beta + n)/n!$$

for $n \geq 1$.

In view of (1) we may regard summability $|C, \alpha|$ as arising from the infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$:

$$a_{00} = 1, a_{0k} = 0 \quad (k \geq 1),$$

$$a_{nk} = \frac{k A_n^{\alpha-1}}{n A_n^\alpha} \quad (0 \leq k \leq n; n \geq 1)$$

and $a_{nk} = 0$ ($k > n \geq 1$).

Our object is to determine the point spectrum, that is the set of eigenvalues, of A acting as an operator on the Banach space l_p , where

$$l_p = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p < \infty\}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$l_\infty = \{x = (x_k) : \sup_k |x_k| < \infty\}.$$

There is also interest in the complete p -normed space

$$(2) \quad l_p = \{x = (x_k) : \|x\| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p < \infty\}, \quad 0 < p < 1,$$

but as we shall show, if $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < p < 1$ then A does not map l_p into itself. In (2) we have that $\|\cdot\|$ is a p -norm in the sense that $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda|^p \|x\|$ for all complex λ and all $x \in l_p$; $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in l_p$ ($0 < p < 1$) and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

For the point spectrum of A acting on l_p we use the notation $P\sigma(A, l_p)$, so that $\lambda \in P\sigma(A, l_p)$ if and only if there is a non-zero $x \in l_p$ such that $Ax = \lambda x$.

2. OPERATORS ON l_p

We now show that the matrix A which defines $|C, \alpha|$ summability acts as a bounded linear operator on l_p when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

2.1. THEOREM. If $\alpha \geq 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then $A \in B(l_p)$, that is A is a bounded linear operator on l_p .

PROOF. It is enough to show that $A \in B(l_1)$ and $A \in B(l_\infty)$, since it is known (see for example Maddox [6], page 174) that $B(l_1) \cap B(l_\infty) \subset B(l_p)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

Now it is well-known (Maddox [6]) that

$$(3) \quad A \in B(l_\infty) \text{ if and only if } \sup_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty,$$

$$(4) \quad A \in B(l_1) \text{ if and only if } \sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty.$$

In order to show (3) we use the following standard identity which is valid for any real α and β :

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n A_i^\alpha A_{n-i}^\beta = A_n^{\alpha+\beta+1}.$$

For $n=0$ the sum in (3) is equal to 1 and for $n \geq 1$ the sum is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} / n A_n^\alpha &= \sum_{k=1}^n A_{k-1}^1 A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} / n A_n^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i^1 A_{n-1-i}^{\alpha-1} / n A_n^\alpha \\ &= A_{n-1}^{\alpha+1} / n A_n^\alpha, \text{ by (5),} \\ &= 1/(\alpha+1). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3) that $A \in B(l_\infty)$.

To deal with (4) we may employ the following result of Chow [2],

LEMMA 1:

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} A_{n-k}^\delta / n A_n^\sigma = 1/k A_k^{\sigma-\delta-1}$$

where $k \geq 1$, $\sigma > -1$, $\sigma - \delta > 0$.

For $k=0$ the sum in (4) is clearly equal to 1 and for $k \geq 1$ the sum is, by (6), also equal to 1, on taking $\delta = \alpha - 1$ and $\sigma = \alpha$. This proves the theorem.

2.2. THEOREM. If $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < p < 1$ then A does not map l_p into itself.

PROOF. Suppose, if possible, that A maps l_p into itself. Now apply the uniform boundedness principle to the sequence (q_r) of functionals on l_p as in (2), where

$$q_r(x) = \sum_{n=0}^r \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} x_k \right|^p.$$

Then there is a positive constant M such that $q_r(x) \leq M \|x\|$ for all $r \geq 0$ and all $x \in l_p$. This result follows from an obvious modification of Theorem 11, page 114, of Maddox [6]. Hence, choosing $x = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$, the k -th. unit vector in l_p , we see that

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^p \leq M, \text{ for all } k \geq 0.$$

By (7) and the definition of A it follows that

$$(8) \quad k^p \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1})^p / (n A_n^\alpha)^p \leq M$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

Now for any $\beta > -1$ we have

$$A_n^\beta \sim n^\beta / \Gamma(\beta+1),$$

whence there is a positive number $c = c(\beta)$ with $A_n^\beta \leq cn^\beta$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, taking that part of the sum in (8) over the range $k \leq n \leq 2k$ we see that there is a positive constant H such that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=0}^k (A_i^{\alpha-1})^p \leq Hk^{\alpha p}.$$

By a standard theorem on resultants (Hardy [5]) the sum in (9) is asymptotic to

$$ck^{(\alpha-1)p+1}$$

where c is a positive constant. It follows from (9) that (k^{1-p}) is bounded, which is contrary to the fact that $p < 1$. This proves the theorem.

3. EIGENVALUES OF THE ABSOLUTE CESÀRO MATRIX

In the following theorem we determine the eigenvalues of the matrix A associated with summability $|C, \alpha|$, where $\alpha > 0$, with A acting on l_p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

3.1. THEOREM. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then

- (i) $P\sigma(A, l_p) = \{1\}$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- (ii) $P\sigma(A, l_\infty) = \{1, 1/(\alpha+1)\}$.

PROOF. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and suppose $\lambda \in P\sigma(A, l_p)$. Then there exists $x \in l_\infty$ with $x \neq 0$ and $Ax = \lambda x$.

Suppose, if possible, that $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 = 0$. We shall prove that this supposition leads to a contradiction. Since $x \neq 0$ we may choose the smallest $m \geq 2$ such that $x_m \neq 0$. Then for all $n \geq m$ we have

$$(10) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} k x_k = \lambda n A_n^\alpha x_n,$$

whence $m x_m = \lambda m A_m^\alpha x_m$, so that $\lambda = 1/A_m^\alpha$.

Next, putting $n = m+1$ in (10) and using the value of λ just found a short calculation shows that $x_{m+1} = m x_m = A_1^{m-1} x_m$.

We shall prove inductively that

$$(11) \quad x_{m+i} = A_i^{m-1} x_m \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

Let us suppose that

$$x_{m+i} = A_i^{m-1} x_m \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n-m.$$

Then (10) implies

$$A_m^\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} A_{n-m+1-i}^{\alpha-1} m A_i^m x_m = (A_{n+1}^\alpha - A_m^\alpha)(n+1)x_{n+1}.$$

After some simplification this equation reduces to

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{n! A_m^\alpha m x_m}{(\alpha+1) \cdots (\alpha+m)(n-m+1)!} = A_{n+1-m}^{m-1} x_m$$

whence (11) holds. Then, since $m \geq 2$ and $x_m \neq 0$, we see by (11) that $|x_{m+i}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, contrary to the fact that $x \in l_\infty$. It follows that $x_0 \neq 0$ or $x_1 \neq 0$.

First let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and suppose, if possible, that $x_0 = 0$ but $x_1 \neq 0$. Then

$$x_1 = \lambda A_1^\alpha x_1 = \lambda(\alpha + 1)x_1,$$

whence $\lambda = 1/(\alpha + 1)$. A calculation then shows that $x_n = x_1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and since $x_1 \neq 0$ this contradicts the fact that $x \in l_p$. Hence when $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\lambda \in P\sigma(A, l_p)$ we must have $x_0 \neq 0$. In this case it follows that $x_0 = \lambda x_0$, so $\lambda = 1$. Since $\alpha > 0$ it is immediate that $x_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Conversely, $1 \in P\sigma(A, l_p)$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$, since $x = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ is a suitable eigenvector. This proves (i) of the theorem.

Finally, consider the case when $p = \infty$. If $x_0 = 0$ but $x_1 \neq 0$ then $\lambda = 1/(\alpha + 1)$ and $x_n = x_1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $x = (0, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$ is a suitable eigenvector.

But if $x_0 \neq 0$ then $\lambda = 1$ and $x_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $x = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ is an eigenvector. Thus the eigenvalues are 1 and $1/(\alpha + 1)$, so (ii) holds and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. Bosanquet, L.S. — Note on convergence and summability factors, J. London Math. Soc. **20**, 39–48 (1945).
2. Chow, H.C. — Note on convergence and summability factors, J. London Math. Soc. **29**, 459–476 (1954).
3. Fekete, M. — Vizsgálatok az absolut summabilis sorokrol, alkalmazással a Dirichlet-Fourier sorokra, Math. es Termés. Ért. **32**, 389–425 (1914).
4. Flett, T.M. — On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. London Math. Soc. **7**, 113–141 (1957).
5. Hardy, G.H. — Divergent Series. Oxford University Press (1949).
6. Maddox, I.J. — Elements of Functional Analysis. (Cambridge University Press (1978).